

Human Perceptual Model Applied to Rendering of Watermarked Signals

Related Application Data

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Nos.
5 60/480,993, filed June 23, 2003, and 60/463,175, filed April 15, 2003, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

Technical Field

The invention relates to digital watermarking of visual and audio data.

Background and Summary

10 Digital watermarking is a process for modifying physical or electronic media to embed a hidden machine-readable code into the media. The media may be modified such that the embedded code is imperceptible or nearly imperceptible to the user, yet may be detected through an automated detection process. Most commonly, digital watermarking is applied to media signals such as images, audio signals, and video signals. However, it
15 may also be applied to other types of media objects, including documents (e.g., through line, word or character shifting), software, multi-dimensional graphics models, and surface textures of objects.

Digital watermarking systems typically have two primary components: an encoder that embeds the watermark in a host media signal, and a decoder that detects and
20 reads the embedded watermark from a signal suspected of containing a watermark (a suspect signal). The encoder embeds a watermark by subtly altering the host media signal. The reading component analyzes a suspect signal to detect whether a watermark is present. In applications where the watermark encodes information, the reader extracts this information from the detected watermark.

25 Several particular watermarking techniques have been developed. The reader is presumed to be familiar with the literature in this field. Particular techniques for

embedding and detecting imperceptible watermarks in media signals are detailed in the assignee's US Patents 6,614,914 and 6,122,403, which are hereby incorporated by reference.

One challenge for watermarking visual media is maximizing the robustness, readability, and/or auxiliary information capacity of a digital watermark relative to a desired perceptual quality level. The digital watermark modifies the host image to embed auxiliary data, yet makes these changes using the assistance of human visual system modeling such that the changes are substantially imperceptible. While many have discussed perceptual image models that address this issue, several challenges remain, including effectively extending models beyond just luminance to address human perceptual models for colors, and addressing the impact of printing to the perceptual model.

This disclosure describes a method for digitally watermarking visual media taking into account a model of the rendering device, such as the printer or video display, to account for the effect of the rendering device on visibility of the digital watermark. In one implementation, a reference image and watermarked image are passed through a model of the rendering device and then to a perceptual quality metric to compute a visibility metric for the watermarked image relative to the reference. The digital watermarking process repeats this evaluation iteratively, while adjusting the embedding of the digital watermark to achieve a desired visual quality.

In the case where the rendering device is a printer, the model of the output device takes into account such effects as the blurring effect, the tonal compression effect, and/or the dot gain effect of the printing process for a particular printer and substrate on which the image is printed. A similar approach can be applied to display devices, such as video monitors, video projectors, high definition video monitors, Plasma displays, etc. to take into account a model of the rendering device. As such, the method applies to still images and video content.

The technique can also be applied to watermarked audio, where the rendering device produces audio output and the system includes a model of the rendering device as well as an audio quality metric.

In our implementation, we use the Watson metric as a visual quality metric, but
5 other metrics may be used as well.

Further features will become apparent with reference to the following detailed description and accompanying drawings.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Fig. 1 is a diagram of a perceptual model used in a watermark embedder to
10 compensate for the effect of the rendering device on perceptibility of a digital watermark.

Fig. 2 is a diagram of a visibility model used in a watermark embedder to compensate for the effect of a printer on the perceptibility of a digital watermark.

Fig. 3 is a diagram of an iterative embedding process using a visibility model that compensates for rendering effects to adjust the embedding strength in iterations of the
15 embedding process.

Fig. 4 is a plot illustrating an example of spatial visibility distribution used to illustrate how a visibility map can be used to adjust the strength of a digital watermark in areas of an image.

Fig. 5 is a plot illustrating measured print luminance vs. digital luminance for
20 different rendering processes, namely printing on gloss paper vs. printing on newsprint.

Detailed Description

Introduction

We have developed a system for embedding digital watermarks in media content
25 while taking into account the impact of the rendering device on the perceptibility of the watermark in output produced by that device. Fig. 1 is a diagram of a perceptual model used in a watermark embedder to compensate for the effect of the rendering device on

perceptibility of a digital watermark. The perceptual model 18 receives reference and watermarked signals 10, 12. It then models the effect of the rendering device in a rendering model module 14. A perceptual metric module 16 then computes a perceptibility map 20 that is used to adjust the digital watermark selectively in areas of the watermarked signal that can tolerate more or less watermark signal strength for a desired amount of perceptibility. For example, the watermark signal strength can be maximized across the watermarked signal by varying it to maintain a desired perceptibility level as measured with the perceptibility metric.

In the following description, we focus on visual content and rendering of such content through printers or displays. Similar approaches can be used for audio content using human auditory system models and models of the rendering device (e.g., D/A conversion and speakers) to compensate for the effect of the rendering device on the perceptibility of the watermark.

Color Image Model for Rendering of Watermarked Visual Content

Perceptual models have been applied to the watermarking of still images. Many of these methods use luminance based models that do not take into account the reduced sensitivity of the eye to high spatial frequencies in the blue-yellow and red-green directions. Most of the models also ignore the effect of the output process on image quality. For example, in offset printing the same digital image will appear very different if it is printed on newsprint with a 85 line screen ruling, compared to printing on high quality gloss paper with a 150 line screen ruling. Similarly images and video appear different if rendered on different display devices such as projectors, televisions, computer monitors, etc. with different display formats and parameters.

To illustrate our method for offset printing, we describe a color image appearance model for Standard Web Offset Printing (SWOP) cyan, magenta, yellow, black (CMYK) data that is being watermarked. This model is used to calculate a grayscale visibility difference map. The visibility difference map is used to equalize the visibility of the watermark across the image, resulting in the maximum watermark signal at any visibility level.

This implementation of the color image appearance model is based on the Spatial CieLab and Watson metric, together with a model of the offset print process that is applied to the input images. Many factors affect the image quality of offset printed images. The major factors in offset printing that affect the watermark visibility are the paper stock and screen ruling. The model is used to automatically obtain the required visibility level for a watermark on characterized paper stocks and screen rulings.

Fig. 2 is a diagram of a visibility model used in a watermark embedder to compensate for the effect of a printing process on the perceptibility of a digital watermark. The visibility model 28 takes as input reference and watermarked images 30 and 32 and produces a visibility map used to adjust the watermarked image. The visibility model has three main components: color conversion module 34 (e.g., Spatial CieLab conversion) for converting the input images to a format for visibility analysis, a rendering device model 36 for modeling the effect of the rendering device, and a visibility metric module 38 (e.g., Watson metric) for computing a visibility metric for areas within the input images.

In one implementation, the reference and watermarked images 30, 32 are passed through a Spatial CieLab conversion going from CMYK to luminance. For more information on Spatial CieLab conversion, see Xuemei Zhang and Brian A. Wandell, 'A Spatial Extension of CieLab for Digital Color Image Reproduction', Proceedings of the SID Symposiums (1996). Alternative color conversion modules may be used. Also, if the visibility metric operates on color images, the input color images are converted to the color format used in the visibility metric module. For example, if the images are in CMYK format, they are converted to RGB format for a visibility metric module that operates on images in RGB format.

In the case of offset printing, the luminance images are passed through an offset print model to correct for paper type and screen ruling, and the two images are then compared in the Watson metric to produce an output visibility display map as a grayscale image. For more information on the Watson metric, see U.S. Patent No. 5,629,780, which is hereby incorporated by reference.

The color image appearance model can be used iteratively within the watermark embedding software to obtain a marked image with the required level of visibility, on different types of print media from high quality offset on a heavy gloss paper stock to newsprint. The process of embedding a watermark in a CMYK image for print makes use of an original image along with a grayscale mask. Iterative embedding extends this by using the visibility map produced with the color image appearance model to create a new mask after each embedding iteration, as shown in Figure 3.

Fig. 3 is a diagram of an iterative embedding process using a visibility model that compensates for rendering effects to adjust the embedding strength in iterations of the embedding process. The embedder 54 receives as input an original image 50 and a mask 52 used to control embedding strength in corresponding areas of the original image. It produces a watermarked image 56. As explained above, the visibility model 58 uses the original un-marked image 50 and the watermarked image 56 to produce a visibility map 60.

In the iterative process, areas of the mask are adjusted up and down based on the visibility map and the previous mask to change the amount of watermark signal being embedded in different parts of the image as shown in block 62 of Fig. 3. The new mask 64 is then fed back into the embedder 54 for the next embed. This continues until a visibility "goal" is reached, and the visibility map shows an even level of difference across the entire image.

The embedding software automatically determines the maximum watermark signal level that can be inserted while achieving the required visibility on these different media. The signal level is also adjusted to compensate for the different types of image content, and the effect of watermark resolution.

The model described above was used to calculate the visibility of a watermark added to an image. The visibility display map was used to iteratively adjust the watermark strength, until the required level of visibility was achieved across the whole image (see Figure 3). This allows the maximum watermark signal strength for a given level of visibility to be inserted. If the visibility is not approximately constant across an

image, the watermark strength will be limited to the strength at which one area of the image first exceeds the required visibility level (see Figure 4, line 42). Less watermark signal is added in this case than if the visibility is approximately constant (see Figure 4, dotted line 44).

5 The same model was used to calculate the visibility of a watermark (strength 1) added to an image which was then output to 100 pound gloss paper with a 150 line screen, compared to the same image output to newsprint with an 85 line screen. The visibility of the added watermark was predicted to be about 50% on the newsprint than on the 100 pound gloss paper. The paper stock has a large effect on the perceived image
10 quality, due to the different tonal range and spatial resolution of the different paper stocks. The difference in tonal range for the different paper stock is shown in Figure 5.

 The appearance model was used to calculate the watermark signal level (strength 2) required to obtain approximately the same visibility on newsprint. The difference between the strength 2 watermarked image and reference image on newsprint was
15 subjectively about the same, as the difference between the strength 1 watermarked image and the reference image printed on 100 pound gloss paper.

 The color image appearance model we described has been used to obtain approximately equal watermark visibility across an image. The watermark signal strength has been corrected for images output on different types of paper stock, to obtain
20 approximately the same visibility.

 Controlling the watermark signal strength with this model, allows a more consistent signal level to be added to the image which takes into account the following factors:

a) image content

25 b) signal loss due to the paper stock and screen ruling.

 The model we have described can be altered for other physical output processes such as screen display by replacing the 'Offset Print Model' component with a 'Screen Display Model'. Further, an attention model could also be used to determine areas

within an image where a viewer's attention is most likely to be drawn. The results of the attention model can be used to generate a map indicating areas to adjust the watermark strength up or down to allow a stronger watermark signal to be placed in the areas where the viewer's attention is less likely drawn, such as background regions of an image.

5 ***Concluding Remarks***

Having described and illustrated the principles of the technology with reference to specific implementations, it will be recognized that the technology can be implemented in many other, different, forms. To provide a comprehensive disclosure without unduly lengthening the specification, applicants incorporate by reference the patents and patent applications referenced above.

The methods, processes, and systems described above may be implemented in hardware, software or a combination of hardware and software. For example, the auxiliary data encoding processes may be implemented in a programmable computer or a special purpose digital circuit. Similarly, auxiliary data decoding may be implemented in software, firmware, hardware, or combinations of software, firmware and hardware. The methods and processes described above may be implemented in programs executed from a system's memory (a computer readable medium, such as an electronic, optical or magnetic storage device).

The particular combinations of elements and features in the above-detailed embodiments are exemplary only; the interchanging and substitution of these teachings with other teachings in this and the incorporated-by-reference patents/applications are also contemplated.